

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

WILLIAM HENRY STARRETT, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	Civil Action No. 3:19-CV-2579-C-BT

ORDER


Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiff timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Recommendations on November 22, 2019.

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby

ADOPTED as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein,
Plaintiff's Complaint and the claims asserted therein are hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

SO ORDERED this 26th day of November, 2019.



SAM R. CUMMINGS
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE